Web Access Policy

Evidence based equality analysis - can include documents, quotes, and web links for photos and videos

Main aims, purpose and outcomes and how does it fit in with the wider aims of the organisation:

We aim to make our digital channels as accessible as possible to all audiences in accordance with our commitment to promote equality and diversity and in order to meet our statutory obligations

The aims of our digital channels are to:

- make our digital services accessible to all users, ensuring they meet the needs of all users, and are viewable in the widest possible range of web-browsing technology.
- provide a wide range of information about council services 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
- provide users with a range of digital channel options to contact us about services for example online forms, email and the use of web enabled applications.

Whilst we have endeavoured to make our digital channels as fully inclusive as possible we have found that there is no single solution that meets the needs of all users. We have built, and constantly review, the Cheshire West and Chester website, associated microsites, intranet and other digital channels following international standards and government guidelines for accessible web content. These guidelines are the BS 8878 and web content accessibility (WCAG) guidelines (version 2.0) 'Double A' standard as a minimum issued by World Wide Web Consortium (W3C)

Lead officer: Phil Orchard, Online Services Manager

Stakeholders: web steering group, people panel, internal staff, external customers, customer liaison group

Equality analysis is a valuable tool to help embed equality into everything we do

While process is important, equality analysis is essentially about outcomes

Lack of evidence of discrimination is not evidence of a lack of discrimination

It is not acceptable to say that a policy is applied uniformly to all groups and is therefore fair and equal. Applying a policy or procedure consistently may result in differential outcomes for different groups.

For each of the areas below, an assessment needs to be made on whether the policy has a positive, negative or neutral impact, and brief details of why this decision was made and notes of any mitigation should be included. Where the impact is negative, this needs to be given a high, medium or low assessment. It is important to rate the impact of the policy based on the current situation (i.e. disregarding any actions planned to be carried out in future).

High impact – a significant potential impact, risk of exposure, history of complaints, no mitigating measures in place etc.

Medium impact -some potential impact exists, some mitigating measures are in place, poor evidence

Low impact – almost no relevancy to the process, e.g. an area that is very much legislation led and where the Council has very little discretion

All Users: We have designed the website to meet relevant technical specifications and ensure that our web content is accessed and understood by as many people as possible.

- User-centric design we have designed our interface around the needs of our users to make it easier for all users to find what they are looking for.
- Browser compatibility we have built the website to make it accessible to all browsers.

Optimisation - our web pages and documents are optimised for the web - for users with slow internet connection. The website also provides further information about equality and diversity and signposting to information about the protected characteristics

	Neutral	Positive	Negative
Target group / area			
Race and ethnicity (Low) (including Gypsies and Travellers; migrant workers, asylum seekers etc.)		Wherever possible, we divide large blocks of information into small chunks and work to publish information written in plain English. This is a common guideline for enhanced usability, but it also benefits users whose first language may not be English. A corporate arrangement for	

		T
	provision of translation is in place.	
Disability (High)	We have built our website so that	We have identified an adverse
(as defined by the Equality Act - a	it is accessible via assistive	impact caused by some of our
person has a disability if they have a	technologies. (Assistive	older and graphical PDF
physical or mental impairment that	technologies are technological	documents. Users who may have
has a substantial and long-term	devices used by people with disabilities to interact with the	problems accessing those PDFs
adverse effect on their ability to	web, e.g. screen readers).	would potentially have to request that content may be sent to them
carry out normal day-to-day activities)	Web, e.g. screen readers). We provide screen readers a way	in an alternative format.
activities)	to skip the navigation, making it	To mitigate this issue, we provide
	easier for visually impaired users	some help on accessing PDFs on
	to navigate the site.	our help page
	We offer alternate text for images.	
	The website layout allows for the	
	enlarging of text.	
	We have checked that all of the	
	colours used have a sufficient	
	contrast.	
	For users who may have	
	difficulties using a mouse, the site	
	can also be navigated using a	
	keyboard only.	
	Our links contain meaningful	
	content (e.g. we do not use "click	
	here"). We also notify users when	
	a link opens in a new window.	
	Corporate arrangement for	
	provision of information in	
	alternative formats available	
Gender (Low)		
Gender reassignment (Low)		
Religion and belief (Low)		
Sexual orientation (Low)		

(including heterosexual, lesbian,		
gay, bisexual)		
Age (Medium)	Some of the arrangements related	
(children and young people aged 0	to accessibility apply	
- 24, adults aged 25 - 50, younger		
older people aged 51 – 75/80; older		
older people 81+. The age		
categories are for illustration only as		
overriding consideration should be		
given to needs)		
Rural communities (Low)	Computers available in all	Only communicated on-line or in
	libraries, posters are also	libraries, need to widen
	displayed to advise of availability	communications to this group
Areas of deprivation (Low)	Computers available in all	Only communicated on-line or in
	libraries, posters are also	libraries, need to widen
	displayed to advise of availability	communications to this group
Human rights (Low)		
Health and wellbeing (Low)		
(consider both the wider		
determinants of health such as		
education, housing, employment,		
environment, crime and transport,		
as well as the possible impacts on		
lifestyles and the effect there may		
be on health and care services)		
Procurement/partnership		
(Low) (if project due to be carried		
out by contractors/partners etc,		
identify steps taken to ensure		
equality compliance)		

Evidence:

Equality Act 2010, Public Sector Duty
Level 2 Web Content Accessibility Guidelines
BS 8878 Web Accessibility Code of Practice
Internal/external feedback channels about accessibility

Action plan:

Actions required	Key activity	Priority	Outcomes required	Officer responsible	Review date
Continue the development of a fully accessible intranet	Development of a fully accessible intranet		Communications meet the needs of users with disabilities	Phil Orchard	February 2014
Encourage user feedback:	Carry out user testing sessions with users with disabilities		Communications meet needs of users with disabilities	Phil Orchard	February 2014
	Analyse and address potential issues identified from testing and customer feedback		Communications meet needs of users	Phil Orchard	February 2014
	Maintain regular liaison with the customer experience team and marketing/ communications to enhance users experience		Wider communications to external users regarding access e.g. availability of computers in libraries with particular emphasis to enhancing access to black and minority ethnic people, areas of deprivation and rural groups	Phil Orchard	February 2014
	Gather and analyse user stats, comments and feedback		Feedback used to address user issues/enhance access	Phil Orchard	February 2014
Ensure all commissioned websites are fully	Implementation of fully accessible microsites		Communications meet the needs of users with disabilities		July 2014

accessible				
Review all in- house and external web applications	Analyse and address potential accessibility issues	Communication the needs of disabilities		July 2014
Review equality and diversity information on the website	Ensure information is kept up to date	Accurate and information in signposting to sources of in	ncluding Managers to further	Ongoing

Sign off	
Lead officer:	Phil Orchard
Approved by Head of Service:	Dermot Lacey
Moderation and/or Scrutiny	
Date:	Moderated at directorate equality group 10 December 2013
Date analysis to be reviewed based on rating (high impact – review in one year, medium impact - review in two years, low impact in three years)	One year