## Prescribed alteration to increase the capacity of Winnington Park Primary School through enlargement of the school's premises

## **Evidence based equality analysis**

Main aims, purpose and outcomes and how does it fit in with the wider aims of the organisation:

To maximise the ability of parents to access the school (with an Ofsted grading of Outstanding or Good) of their first preference in order to provide their child with the best start in life.

Lead officer: Ric Turnock

Stakeholders: Pupils, parents, staff

Equality analysis is a valuable tool to help embed equality into everything we do

While process is important, equality analysis is essentially about outcomes

Lack of evidence of discrimination is not evidence of a lack of discrimination

It is not acceptable to say that a policy is applied uniformly to all groups and is therefore fair and equal. Applying a policy or procedure consistently may result in differential outcomes for different groups.

For each of the areas below, an assessment needs to be made on whether the policy has a positive, negative or neutral impact, and brief details of why this decision was made and notes of any mitigation should be included. Where the impact is negative, this needs to be given a high, medium or low assessment. It is important to rate the impact of the policy based on the current situation (i.e. disregarding any actions planned to be carried out in future).

High impact – a significant potential impact, risk of exposure, history of complaints, no mitigating measures in place etc.

Medium impact -some potential impact exists, some mitigating measures are in place, poor evidence

Low impact – almost no relevancy to the process, e.g. an area that is very much legislation led and where the Council has

	Neutral	Positive	Negative
Target group / area			
Race and ethnicity		The proposal will increase	
(including Gypsies and		the range of choice available	
Travellers; migrant workers,		to these groups.	
asylum seekers etc.)			
Disability		Making mainstream schools	
(as defined by the Equality Act		larger and more accessible	
- a person has a disability if		will improve options for	
they have a physical or mental		disabled children.	
impairment that has a			
substantial and long-term			
adverse effect on their ability			
to carry out normal day-to-day activities)			
activities) Gender	There is no basis for		
Gender	believing that this proposal		
	will have a gender specific		
	impact.		
Gender identity (gender	There is no basis for		
reassignment)	believing that this proposal		
	will have a specific impact		
	on gender identity.		
Religion and belief		An increase in the supply of	
_		primary school places in	
		non-faith based schools	
		potentially provides choice	
		for those who do not wish	
		their child to have a faith-	
		based education and will in	
		some instances remove	

	T		
Sexual orientation (including	There is no basis for	pressure on places in faith- based schools helping to ensure that those who wish their child to have a faith- based education are able to have that preference met.	
heterosexual, lesbian, gay, bisexual)	believing that this proposal will have a specific impact in relation to sexual orientation.		
Age (children and young people aged 0 – 24, adults aged 25 – 50, younger older people aged 51 – 75/80; older older people 81+. The age categories are for illustration only as overriding consideration should be given to needs)		Increasing provision in an area with an identified deficit of provision increases the likelihood of a child being able to access their educational entitlement in their local community.	
Carers		Increasing provision in an area with an identified deficit of provision reduces the likelihood that parents and carers will have to make extended/multiple journeys to ensure their child(ren)'s attendance at school.	
Rural communities		This school's catchment includes a rural area. Increasing provision increases the likelihood that children from the rural area	

		will be able to access	
		provision at their nearest	
		school alongside other peers	
		from that community.	
Areas of deprivation	This school does not serve		
·	an area of deprivation.		
Human rights	There are no known Human		
_	rights issues appertaining to		
	this school or the		
	community it serves.		
Health and wellbeing		Maximising the ability of	
(consider both the wider		children to attend their local	
determinants of health such		school by ensuring it has	
as education, housing,		sufficient capacity helps	
employment, environment,		encourage social integration	
crime and transport, as well		with the consequent health	
as the possible impacts on		benefits this brings.	
lifestyles and the effect there			
may be on health and care			
services)			
Procurement/partnership (if	Not applicable		
project due to be carried out			
by contractors/partners etc,			
identify steps taken to ensure			
equality compliance)			

## Evidence:

Indices of Multiple Deprivation data, consideration of consultation feedback, analysis of patterns of parental preference.

## Action plan:

Actions required	Key activity	Priority	Outcomes required	Officer responsible	Review date
Monitor impact	Assess impact of expansion on other schools	Medium		Ric Turnock	September 2020 (two years after implementation)

Sign off	
Lead officer:	Ric Turnock
Approved by Tier 4 Manager:	Carolyn Davis
Moderation and/or Scrutiny – People Equality Group	
Date:	27 March 2017
Date analysis to be reviewed based on rating (high impact – review in one year, medium impact - review in two years, low impact in three years)	Three years – March 2020