## Prescribed Alteration to increase the capacity of Winsford High Street Community Primary School

## through enlargement of the school's premises

Evidence based equality analysis - can include documents, quotes, and web links for photos and videos

Main aims, purpose and outcomes and how does it fit in with the wider aims of the organisation:

To maximise the ability of parents to access the school (with an Ofsted grading of Outstanding or Good) of their first preference in order to provide their child with the best start in life.

Lead officer: Ric Turnock

Stakeholders: Pupils, Parents, Staff.

Equality analysis is a valuable tool to help embed equality into everything we do

While process is important, equality analysis is essentially about outcomes

Lack of evidence of discrimination is not evidence of a lack of discrimination

It is not acceptable to say that a policy is applied uniformly to all groups and is therefore fair and equal. Applying a policy or procedure consistently may result in differential outcomes for different groups.

For each of the areas below, an assessment needs to be made on whether the policy has a positive, negative or neutral impact, and brief details of why this decision was made and notes of any mitigation should be included. Where the impact is negative, this needs to be given a high, medium or low assessment. It is important to rate the impact of the policy based on the current situation (i.e. disregarding any actions planned to be carried out in future).

High impact – a significant potential impact, risk of exposure, history of complaints, no mitigating measures in place etc.

Medium impact –some potential impact exists, some mitigating measures are in place, poor evidence

Low impact – almost no relevancy to the process, e.g. an area that is very much legislation led and where the Council has

-	Neutral	Positive	Nogativo
Torget group / erec	Neutrai	Positive	Negative
Target group / area		<b>T</b> I	
Race and ethnicity		The proposal will increase	
(including Gypsies and		the range of choice available	
Travellers; migrant workers,		to these groups.	
asylum seekers etc.)			
Disability		Making mainstream schools	
(as defined by the Equality Act		larger and more accessible	
- a person has a disability if		will improve options for	
they have a physical or mental		disabled children.	
impairment that has a			
substantial and long-term			
adverse effect on their ability			
to carry out normal day-to-day			
activities)			
Gender	There is no basis for		
	believing that this proposal		
	will have a gender specific		
	impact.		
Gender identity (gender	There is no basis for		
reassignment)	believing that this proposal		
	will have a specific impact		
	on gender identity.		
Religion and belief		An increase in the supply of	
		primary school places in	
		non-faith based schools	
		potentially provides choice	
		for those who do not wish	
		their child to have a faith-	
		based education and will in	
		some instances remove	

		pressure on places in faith- based schools helping to ensure that those who wish their child to have a faith- based education are able to have that preference met.	
<b>Sexual orientation</b> (including heterosexual, lesbian, gay, bisexual)	There is no basis for believing that this proposal will have a specific impact in relation to sexual orientation.		
Age (children and young people aged 0 – 24, adults aged 25 – 50, younger older people aged 51 – 75/80; older older people 81+. The age categories are for illustration only as overriding consideration should be given to needs)		Increasing provision in an area with an identified deficit of provision increases the likelihood of a child being able to access their educational entitlement in their local community.	
Carers		Increasing provision in an area with an identified deficit of provision reduces the likelihood that parents and carers will have to make extended/multiple journeys to ensure their child(ren)'s attendance at school.	
Rural communities		This school's catchment includes a rural area. Increasing provision increases the likelihood that children from the rural area	

Areas of deprivation		will be able to access provision at their nearest school alongside other peers from that community. Expansion of this school provides children living in surrounding areas of deprivation with greater opportunity to access education in a 'Good' school.	
Human rights	There are no known Human rights issues appertaining to this school or the community it serves.		
Health and wellbeing (consider both the wider determinants of health such as education, housing, employment, environment, crime and transport, as well as the possible impacts on lifestyles and the effect there may be on health and care services)		Maximising the ability of children to attend their local school by ensuring it has sufficient capacity helps encourage social integration with the consequent health benefits this brings.	
<b>Procurement/partnership</b> (if project due to be carried out by contractors/partners etc, identify steps taken to ensure equality compliance)	Not applicable		

## Evidence:

Indices of Multiple Deprivation data, consideration of consultation feedback, analysis of patterns of parental preference.

## Action plan:

Actions required	Key activity	Priority	Outcomes required	Officer responsible	Review date
Monitor impact	Assess impact of expansion on other schools	Medium		Ric Turnock	September 2019

Sign off	
Lead officer:	Ric Turnock
Approved by Tier 4 Manager:	Carolyn Davis
Moderation and/or Scrutiny – People Equality Group	
Date:	27 March 2017
Date analysis to be reviewed based on rating (high impact – review in one year, medium impact - review in two years, low impact in three years)	Three years – March 2020